

Cultural Diversity and Foreign Language Teaching: Toward an Inclusive and Intercultural Pedagogy

1. Dre Lydia Chaaoui

Université Mohamed El Bachir El Ibrahimi, Bordj Bou Arréridj, Algeria

Lydia.chaaoui@gmail.com

2. Professor Lakhdar Kharchi

Université de M'sila, Algeria

Lakhdar.kharchi@univ-msila.dz

Received: 15.03.2026

Accepted: 01.07.2026

Publishing: 17.09.2026

Abstract

Foreign language teaching is often assessed through linguistic outcomes, yet successful communication also depends on learners' ability to interpret social meanings, negotiate difference, and participate in culturally diverse settings. This conceptual article examines how cultural diversity can be integrated into foreign language education without reducing culture to a collection of national facts or stereotypes. Drawing on intercultural communicative competence, communicative competence, sociocultural theory, multicultural education, and the action-oriented perspective of the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages, the article develops a conceptual model linking cultural diversity, inclusive pedagogy, language learning, intercultural competence, and social participation. The argument is developed through a critical narrative synthesis of selected theoretical and institutional sources. The analysis shows that cultural diversity becomes educationally productive only when it is supported by equitable participation, culturally balanced materials, explicit reflection, mediation tasks, teacher preparation, and assessment of knowledge, skills, attitudes, and action. The article also identifies important risks, including essentialism, tokenistic representation, linguistic inequality, and the uncritical use of technology. It concludes that high-quality foreign language education should pursue a dual objective: linguistic development and the formation of learners who can interpret, mediate, and communicate responsibly across cultural and linguistic boundaries.

Keywords: cultural diversity; foreign language teaching; intercultural communicative competence; plurilingualism; mediation; inclusive education; language and identity.

1. Introduction

Foreign language education occupies a strategic position in contemporary education because languages provide access to knowledge, mobility, employment, international cooperation, and civic participation. However, language learning is not limited to the acquisition of grammatical forms and lexical items. Communication is situated in social contexts and shaped by expectations concerning identity, politeness, relationships, authority, time, participation, and disagreement. A learner may

produce a grammatically accurate sentence and still be unable to interpret its social meaning or use it appropriately in a particular interaction (Kramsch, 1993; Whorf, 1956).

This observation gives cultural diversity a central place in language education. Classrooms are not culturally neutral spaces. Learners bring different linguistic repertoires, histories, identities, educational experiences, and communicative practices. The target language itself is also used by diverse communities rather than by a single homogeneous group. Consequently, teaching a foreign language through a single cultural model can misrepresent the language and limit learners' ability to communicate with varied interlocutors.

The educational value of diversity should not, however, be assumed automatically. Diversity can support learning when learners are given equitable opportunities to participate and when cultural differences are approached critically. It can also reproduce inequality when materials rely on stereotypes, when one language is treated as inherently superior, or when learners are expected to represent an entire community. The central problem is therefore not whether culture should be included in language teaching, but how it should be included.

This article addresses that problem through four questions. First, how should cultural diversity be conceptualized for foreign language education? Second, what theoretical relationship connects language, culture, identity, and communication? Third, which pedagogical practices can transform diversity into a resource for learning? Fourth, how can the linguistic and intercultural outcomes of such teaching be assessed responsibly?

The article makes three contributions. It synthesizes complementary theoretical perspectives rather than presenting culture as an isolated content area. It proposes an integrated conceptual model that links classroom processes to longer-term educational and social outcomes. It also identifies conditions and limitations that should guide the implementation of culturally responsive foreign language teaching.

2. Conceptual and Theoretical Framework

2.1 Cultural diversity as a dynamic educational condition

Cultural diversity refers to the plurality of languages, identities, traditions, beliefs, forms of knowledge, artistic expressions, and social practices present within and across communities. UNESCO treats cultural diversity as a shared heritage and links its protection to intercultural dialogue and sustainable development (UNESCO, 2009). In education, the term should be understood as a condition of interaction rather than as a static inventory of cultural traits.

A dynamic conception has two implications. First, cultures are internally heterogeneous. No learner can be reduced to a national, ethnic, religious, or linguistic category. Second, cultural meanings are continually shaped by migration, media, technology, social change, and contact between communities. Teaching materials should therefore present cultures as plural and evolving. They should show disagreement and variation within communities rather than suggesting that every member shares the same values or behavior.

A dynamic conception also prevents a common pedagogical error: the treatment of culture as decoration. Cultural content is not limited to festivals, food, clothing, or famous places. It includes

the ways in which people construct meaning, position themselves in interaction, interpret events, and negotiate relationships. These dimensions are directly relevant to language use.

2.2 Language, culture, and communicative competence

Language is both a system of communication and a social resource. It carries historical memory, expresses identity, and indexes relationships between speakers. Forms of address, politeness strategies, narrative conventions, humor, metaphor, and disagreement may vary across contexts. These differences do not create a simple opposition between “one culture” and “another.” They require learners to interpret how language functions in a specific interaction.

Hymes’s concept of communicative competence is relevant because it extends language knowledge beyond formal correctness to appropriate use in social situations (Hymes, 1972). Later intercultural approaches further emphasize the ability to interpret cultural meanings, relate perspectives, manage uncertainty, and mediate between interlocutors (Byram, 1997; Deardorff, 2006). In this view, the objective is not to imitate an idealized native speaker. It is to develop a flexible communicator who can draw on several linguistic and cultural resources while maintaining a critical awareness of context. Sociocultural theory adds that learning develops through mediated activity and interaction (Lantolf, 2000). Learners construct knowledge by participating in meaningful tasks with teachers and peers. Classroom interaction is therefore not merely a means of practicing language already learned. It is also a site where identities, interpretations, and communicative strategies are developed.

2.3 Plurilingualism and mediation

The Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Companion Volume broadens language education through descriptors for mediation, online interaction, and plurilingual and pluricultural competence (Council of Europe, 2020). This perspective is particularly relevant to culturally diverse classrooms because it recognizes that learners use their entire linguistic repertoire rather than keeping languages in completely separate compartments.

Mediation involves creating bridges between people, texts, ideas, and languages. It may include summarizing a complex text for another learner, explaining a culturally specific reference, adapting information for a particular audience, facilitating group understanding, or helping interlocutors resolve a disagreement. The European Centre for Modern Languages distinguishes mediation of a text, mediation of concepts, and mediation of communication (European Centre for Modern Languages, n.d.). These categories provide a practical bridge between intercultural education and classroom tasks.

Mediation also changes the conception of the learner. The learner is not merely a recipient of a target language. The learner is a social agent who mobilizes available resources to accomplish a communicative purpose. This approach gives legitimacy to multilingual repertoires and makes cultural diversity visible as a resource for meaning-making.

2.4 Intercultural competence as a multidimensional construct

Intercultural competence is frequently used as a broad term, but it should be defined precisely. It includes knowledge of social groups and communicative conventions, skills of interpretation and interaction, attitudes such as curiosity and openness, and the ability to reflect critically on one’s own assumptions (Byram, 1997). Recent research also cautions that knowledge gains do not automatically

produce improved interactional skills or more constructive attitudes (Bøhn et al., 2025). Intercultural learning must therefore include explicit reflection and opportunities for action.

For the purposes of this article, intercultural competence is defined as the capacity to interpret and negotiate meaning across linguistic and cultural difference while recognizing the complexity of identities and maintaining respect for human dignity. This definition avoids equating competence with knowledge about foreign countries. It also makes the construct observable through communicative performance, reflection, mediation, and collaborative action.

3. Methodological Position and Analytical Scope

This article is a conceptual and interpretive contribution rather than an empirical report. It uses a critical narrative synthesis of selected sources in five areas: language and culture, communicative competence, intercultural competence, plurilingual and action-oriented language education, and multicultural education. Institutional sources from UNESCO, the Council of Europe, and the European Centre for Modern Languages are considered alongside foundational and recent academic work.

The analysis follows three steps. First, it identifies the principal concepts used to explain the relationship between cultural diversity and language learning. Second, it compares their pedagogical implications, with particular attention to mediation, interaction, identity, and assessment. Third, it organizes the findings into a conceptual model and a set of implementation principles.

This methodological position imposes limits. The article does not claim that culturally responsive teaching produces identical outcomes in all settings. It does not present new classroom data, compare intervention groups, or establish causal effects. The proposed model should therefore be treated as a theoretically grounded framework for curriculum design and future empirical investigation. Studies using classroom observation, learner interviews, portfolios, and pre- and post-intervention measures are needed to test its assumptions.

4. Cultural Diversity in the Foreign Language Classroom

4.1 Educational opportunities

A culturally diverse classroom can create meaningful reasons to use the target language. Learners explain experiences, compare interpretations, ask for clarification, and negotiate shared decisions. Pair work, role-play, group discussion, and project-based learning can therefore develop linguistic accuracy and interactional flexibility at the same time.

Diversity may also increase relevance and motivation. Literature, music, film, digital media, local histories, and personal narratives can connect language learning with learners' interests and social realities. Motivation is shaped by the learner, the teacher, the learning environment, and the value that learners attribute to the language (Gardner, 2001). Cultural content becomes educationally powerful when it is connected to purposeful communication rather than presented as memorization.

Culturally diverse interaction can further develop perspective-taking, active listening, critical thinking, negotiation, and conflict-management skills. These outcomes are consistent with the broader social purposes of language education, including inclusion, democratic participation, and international cooperation.

4.2 Risks and constraints

The first risk is essentialism, which occurs when a culture is presented as a fixed set of traits shared by all its members. Essentialist teaching may appear positive while still reducing learners to representatives of a group. Teachers should instead present multiple voices, internal disagreement, historical change, and the role of individual agency.

The second risk is tokenism. A cultural day, a traditional recipe, or a short biographical text may create visibility without changing classroom power relations or developing intercultural understanding. Inclusion requires sustained curricular integration, equitable participation, and reflective follow-up.

The third risk is linguistic inequality. Learners with limited proficiency in the language of instruction may possess substantial knowledge but lack the linguistic means to display it. Scaffolding, visual support, flexible grouping, translanguaging where appropriate, and alternative forms of participation can reduce this inequality without lowering academic expectations.

The fourth risk concerns assessment. If teachers assess accent, personality, or conformity to a preferred communicative style, they may confuse cultural difference with deficient performance. Assessment must focus on explicit criteria and observable actions.

Finally, digital resources require critical mediation. Online materials can provide access to diverse voices, but they may also reproduce stereotypes, misinformation, algorithmic bias, and unequal access. Technology is a pedagogical resource, not a substitute for teacher judgment or human dialogue. This concern is consistent with research on ICT integration in foreign language pedagogy, which stresses that digital tools support learning only when they are grounded in a sound pedagogical rationale rather than adopted as an end in themselves (Kharchi, 2017). Within technology-mediated formats such as the flipped classroom, ICT can also serve as a vehicle for cultural content, provided that the cultural dimension is deliberately built into task design rather than left implicit (Kharchi & Rahal, 2019).

5. An Integrated Pedagogical Model

The model below summarizes the article's central argument. Cultural diversity is the starting condition. Inclusive pedagogy transforms that condition into opportunities for language learning and intercultural competence. These outcomes support participation, adaptability, and social cohesion. The feedback arrow indicates that successful participation can reinforce the recognition and protection of diversity.

5.1 Curriculum and materials

A high-quality curriculum should define intercultural learning as an explicit objective alongside grammar, vocabulary, pronunciation, reading, listening, speaking, and writing. Materials should include varied voices and contexts rather than presenting a single national model. They should also include tasks that require learners to interpret perspectives, compare conventions, and explain how meaning changes according to audience and purpose.

Cultural comparison should be analytical rather than judgmental. Learners can examine how a practice functions in a particular setting, what assumptions it reflects, and how it varies within a

community. They should not be required to disclose private information or speak on behalf of an entire culture.

5.2 Differentiation, scaffolding, and mediation

Differentiated instruction responds to variation in proficiency, prior knowledge, interests, and learning needs. Teachers can combine written, oral, visual, and interactive resources; pre-teach essential vocabulary; provide models; and offer more than one way to demonstrate understanding.

Mediation tasks make this approach operational. Learners may summarize an article for a classmate, adapt a formal text for a public audience, explain a culture-specific reference, create a bilingual information sheet, or facilitate a discussion in which participants hold different positions. These tasks integrate linguistic form, cultural interpretation, audience awareness, and social action.

5.3 Collaboration and reflective practice

Collaborative learning is valuable when it has a genuine purpose and a carefully designed structure. Clear roles, shared criteria, turn-taking, and teacher monitoring help ensure that group work does not reproduce existing inequalities. After a task, learners should reflect on misunderstandings, power relations, interpretations, and strategies used to reach agreement.

Reflection is essential because contact with difference does not automatically produce intercultural competence. Learners need support in identifying assumptions and examining how their interpretations were formed. The teacher's role is to guide this process rather than provide a definitive description of every culture.

5.4 Teacher education

Teacher education should address cultural self-awareness, bias recognition, inclusive assessment, conflict mediation, material analysis, and the design of mediation tasks. Teachers need practical ways to respond when a comment relies on a stereotype or excludes a member of the class.

An inclusive teacher establishes expectations for respectful dialogue, makes participation accessible, and treats uncertainty as a legitimate part of learning. Professional development should be continuous and connected to classroom inquiry. Action research, peer observation, and collaborative planning can help teachers examine whether their practices actually broaden participation.

5.5 Assessment

Assessment should distinguish at least four dimensions: knowledge, skills, attitudes, and action. Knowledge concerns social and communicative conventions. Skills concern interpretation, interaction, mediation, and adaptation. Attitudes concern curiosity, openness, respect, and tolerance of ambiguity. Action concerns the learner's ability to use language to cooperate, facilitate understanding, and respond responsibly in a real or simulated situation.

Suitable evidence includes portfolios, reflective journals, role-plays, project presentations, mediation tasks, peer feedback, and observed interaction. A rubric should define criteria such as contextual interpretation, clarity, audience awareness, respect for alternative perspectives, strategic adaptation, and quality of reflection. It should not reward one accent, personality, or cultural identity over another. The relationship between assessment dimensions, classroom practices, and evidence of learning is summarized in Figure 2. The figure emphasizes that inclusive assessment should not measure

linguistic form alone. It should also make visible interpretation, mediation, interaction, reflection, and social action.

6. Identity, Inclusion, and Social Participation

Foreign language learning can influence how learners understand themselves and others. Encountering new forms of expression may lead students to reconsider assumptions about communication, identity, and social behavior. They may develop a more complex sense of belonging that includes local, national, linguistic, professional, and global dimensions.

This process should not require learners to abandon their cultural identities. Inclusive language education recognizes that learners can acquire new communicative resources while maintaining and developing their heritage languages and cultural affiliations. A multilingual identity can be additive rather than substitutive.

Identity work also involves power. The decision about which language varieties, accents, texts, histories, and cultural voices are treated as legitimate is an educational decision. A high-level academic approach should therefore ask whose knowledge is visible, whose speech is normalized, and who is expected to adapt. This critical perspective connects foreign language teaching to equity and democratic participation.

When students learn to listen to unfamiliar perspectives, justify interpretations, and disagree respectfully, they develop capacities that extend beyond language learning. Language education can thus contribute to social inclusion when it gives learners meaningful opportunities to participate and be heard.

7. Discussion

The literature and framework reviewed here support a qualified claim: cultural diversity can enrich foreign language learning, but its benefits are mediated by pedagogy. Diversity alone does not produce motivation, empathy, or competence. The decisive factor is the design of interaction and the extent to which learners are supported in interpreting and negotiating meaning.

A second implication is that intercultural competence should not be treated as a soft or optional outcome. The ability to mediate information, manage misunderstanding, and interact across difference is part of communicative effectiveness. The CEFR Companion Volume's inclusion of mediation and plurilingual/pluricultural competence provides institutional support for integrating these outcomes into curricula and assessment (Council of Europe, 2020).

A third implication concerns the relationship between language accuracy and intercultural learning. These aims should not be presented as competing alternatives. Contextualized tasks can require grammatical precision while also requiring audience awareness, appropriate register, interpretation, and strategic adaptation. The appropriate question is not whether teachers should choose language or culture, but how linguistic form and social meaning can be taught together.

A fourth implication is that knowledge and attitudes must be connected to practice. Recent intervention research suggests that lessons may improve learners' knowledge without producing equivalent gains in interactional skills, while attitudes may remain insufficiently addressed (Bøhn et al., 2025). This finding supports the use of repeated, reflective, action-oriented tasks rather than isolated lessons about cultural facts.

8. Recommendations and Research Agenda

Curricula should state intercultural and mediation outcomes explicitly. Materials should be audited for stereotyping, cultural balance, accessibility, and representation of contemporary realities. Teacher education should include sustained work on self-awareness, bias, material analysis, mediation, and assessment.

Schools and universities should support carefully prepared exchanges, virtual collaboration, partnerships with multilingual communities, and classroom projects connected to real audiences. Such initiatives should include preparation and reflection because contact alone does not guarantee learning.

Future empirical research should investigate how specific pedagogical practices affect language proficiency, intercultural competence, identity, motivation, and participation. Mixed-methods designs would be especially useful because quantitative measures can document change while interviews, classroom observations, and learner portfolios can explain how that change occurs. Research should also examine differences across age groups, proficiency levels, disciplines, and national contexts.

The proposed model can generate testable propositions. For example, mediation tasks supported by explicit reflection may produce stronger gains in intercultural interpretation than exposure to cultural information alone. Culturally balanced materials may improve engagement only when learners have equitable opportunities to discuss them. Teacher professional development may affect learner outcomes through changes in classroom interaction rather than through cultural knowledge alone.

9. Conclusion

Cultural diversity is not an additional topic to be appended to foreign language instruction. It is one of the conditions that give language its meaning. Languages are used by people with different histories, identities, relationships, and purposes. Effective teaching must therefore connect linguistic forms with the contexts in which they are interpreted and used.

This article has argued that a culturally responsive approach should combine five elements: a dynamic conception of culture, explicit intercultural objectives, action-oriented mediation, equitable classroom interaction, and multidimensional assessment. Such an approach can increase the relevance of language learning, develop learners' ability to negotiate meaning, and support participation in diverse societies.

The argument is not that diversity automatically produces positive outcomes. Cultural essentialism, tokenism, linguistic inequality, weak teacher preparation, and uncritical technology can undermine the educational process. The challenge is to design learning environments in which difference is neither ignored nor romanticized, but examined through language, interaction, reflection, and action. The central objective of high-quality foreign language education should be to develop learners who can communicate accurately, interpret responsibly, mediate across difference, and participate respectfully in a plural world. Such education does not seek cultural homogenization. It treats linguistic and cultural plurality as a condition of learning and as a resource for cooperation, inclusion, and democratic life.

References

- Bøhn, H., Dypedahl, M., & Myklevold, G.-A. (2025). Developing intercultural competence in English foreign language learning: Focus on communication differences. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2025.2558725>
- Byram, M. (1997). *Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence*. Multilingual Matters.
- Council of Europe. (2020). *Common European framework of reference for languages: Learning, teaching, assessment—Companion volume*. Council of Europe Publishing. <https://www.coe.int/en/web/common-european-framework-reference-languages>
- Deardorff, D. K. (2006). Identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of internationalization. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 10(3), 241–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315306287002>
- European Centre for Modern Languages. (n.d.). *Mediation in the Common European Framework of Reference*. Council of Europe. <https://www.ecml.at/en/ECML-Programme/Programme-2020-2023/Mediation-in-teaching-and-assessment/Mediation-in-the-CEFR>
- Gardner, R. C. (2001). Language learning motivation: The student, the teacher, and the language. *TESOL Quarterly*, 35(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587920>
- Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics* (pp. 269–293). Penguin.
- Kharchi, L. (2017). *Didactique des langues étrangères et TIC : apport pédagogique des didacticiels* [Foreign language teaching and ICT: The pedagogical contribution of educational software]. Office des publications universitaires (OPU).
- Kharchi, L., & Rahal, A. (2019). *La dimension culturelle et TIC dans une classe inversée* [The cultural dimension and ICT in a flipped classroom]. *Dirassat : Human and Social Sciences*, 2.
- Kramsch, C. (1993). *Context and culture in language teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Lantolf, J. P. (Ed.). (2000). *Sociocultural theory and second language learning*. Oxford University Press.
- UNESCO. (2009). *Investing in cultural diversity and intercultural dialogue*. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000184755>
- Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, thought, and reality: Selected writings of Benjamin Lee Whorf*. MIT Press.