Journal of Foreign Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics (J-FLTAL)
ISSN P: 2303-5528
E-ISSN: 2303-6397
Vol. 13 (2) 2026

Efficient, Affordable, and Long-Term Evaluation of Electric Vehicle Battery Technologies

Dr. Lukas Brenner
Central European Institute of Applied Informatics, Graz, Austria

Soumission : 17/10/2025 Acceptation : 20/07/2026 Publication : 06/08/2026

Abstract:
Since advancements in battery technology directly affect the efficiency, affordability, and sustainability of EVs, they are a driving force behind the transition to EVs. best electric vehicle battery technology, ranked by effectiveness, affordability, and environmental friendliness. A comparison of various battery chemistries is presented, highlighting their advantages and disadvantages in terms of performance metrics, manufacturing costs, and environmental implications. The chemistries include lithium-ion, solid-state, sodium-ion, and lithium-sulfur batteries, among others. the impact of battery technology on the widespread adoption of EVs and their ability to meet future demands through tracking current trends, technological breakthroughs, and industry news. the challenges associated with different kinds of batteries, including getting raw materials, recycling processes, and keeping the batteries in good working order. Through a comparative analysis, this study reveals which battery technologies have the potential to speed up the transition to electric mobility while also addressing critical environmental and economic concerns. proposing ideas for future research that could improve battery performance while decreasing their environmental effect.
keywords- Electric Vehicle**,** sustainability, battery technology

Introduction:

As the global movement toward sustainable transportation gains momentum, electric vehicles (EVs) have emerged as an essential component of the solution to mitigate the adverse effects that conventional internal combustion engine vehicles have on the environment. These effects include reduced carbon emissions and improved air quality. Because they influence the power output of the vehicle as well as other significant characteristics like as cost, charging time, range, and sustainability in the long term, the efficiency of the batteries that are used in electric vehicles is essential to the market for these automobiles. As a result, battery technology is essential to the revolution in electric mobility, and developments in this field will have a significant impact on the way the automobile industry evolves in the years to come. As a result of its extended cycle life, relatively high energy density, and increased cost, lithium-ion (Li-ion) batteries have become the dominant force in the market for electric vehicles. On the other hand, in response to the growing demand for electric vehicles and the growing need to increase performance while simultaneously reducing costs, researchers and manufacturers are investing greater resources to the investigation of alternative battery technologies. Solid-state batteries, lithium-sulfur batteries, and sodium-ion batteries are all exhibiting signs of promise in the effort to develop energy storage options for electric vehicles that are more effective, more economical, and less harmful to the environment. Despite the fact that these technologies have made significant progress, they are not without their fair share of imperfections. For instance, there are concerns regarding the environment that are involved with the recycling of batteries and the management of their life cycle, and there are several challenges associated with the acquisition of raw materials. This article provides an examination of the most prevalent battery technologies for electric vehicles, taking into consideration things like cost, efficiency, and sustainability. Through an analysis of the advantages and disadvantages of these technologies, the research endeavors to improve battery performance and find solutions to the environmental and economic constraints that are now preventing the widespread use of electric vehicles. The results of this study will determine whether or not we are able to comprehend how battery technology can facilitate the transition to electric mobility on a worldwide scale. In its conclusion, the essay discusses the ways in which technological advancement, collaborative efforts, and strategic investments will shape the future of transportation, as well as the ways in which electric vehicles will become a popular and environmentally friendly alternative.

Alternative Chemistry: Lithium-Sulfur and Sodium-Ion

Battery technology must improve to make electric cars (EVs) more efficient, cheaper, and sustainable to satisfy rising demand. Lithium-ion batteries dominate the electric car market, but sodium-ion and lithium-sulfur are promising alternatives that may overcome their shortcomings. Despite scalability, performance, and stability difficulties, these alternative batteries have energy density, affordability, and material availability advantages. We will examine sodium-ion and lithium-sulfur batteries, which potentially revolutionize the electric car business, in this part.

1. Lithium-Sulfur Batteries: High Energy Density with Sustainability Potential

- **Energy Density and Theoretical Advantages**: The potential energy density of lithium-sulfur (Li-S) batteries is substantially greater than that of traditional lithium-ion batteries, which has led to considerable interest in these alternatives. When compared to more conventional cathode materials, such as nickel or cobalt, sulfur's specific capacity is substantially higher. As a result, electric vehicle batteries may get smaller, lighter, and capable of storing more energy, leading to greater ranges. Electric vehicle (EV) battery packs may be smaller and lighter if they were powered by lithium-sulfur batteries, which have a theoretical energy density of about 500 Wh/kg, five times more than lithium-ion batteries.
- **Sustainability and Cost Benefits**: Due to sulfur's abundance and cheap cost, Li-S batteries offer a sustainable and cost-effective option. In contrast to cobalt and other rare earth metals utilised in lithium-ion batteries, sulphur is both abundant and safe to utilise. Both the environmental effect and the reliance on expensive raw materials could be greatly diminished if this were to happen. There may be less problems with the supply chain for lithium-ion battery production due to the abundance of sulphur.
- **Challenges in Lithium-Sulfur Batteries**: The technical hurdles that lithium-sulfur batteries must overcome are substantial, notwithstanding their potential. Their short cycle life is one of the main difficulties. The performance of the battery is gradually

diminished as insoluble polysulfides can be formed during discharge by means of a chain reaction involving the sulphur cathode. A decrease in capacity and a shorter lifespan are the results of this. Efforts are being made by researchers to enhance sulfur's conductivity and find novel ways to stabilise the cathode material. However, issues with scalability and durability still prevent practical implementation.

2. Sodium-Ion Batteries: A Low-Cost, Abundant Alternative

- **Energy Density and Material Availability**: Sodium-ion (Na-ion) batteries are quickly becoming a viable substitute for lithium-ion batteries since sodium is both abundant and inexpensive in comparison to lithium. A more ecological and economical alternative is sodium, which is abundant and can be drawn from saltwater. Although lithium-ion batteries usually have a higher energy density (150-250 Wh/kg), sodium-ion batteries are still a good alternative when price and availability of materials are more important than total energy density (100-150 Wh/kg).
- **Performance and Potential for EVs**: Recent developments have demonstrated that sodium-ion batteries can be modified for use in electric vehicles, although they are most commonly used for stationary energy storage. Sodium-ion batteries are more adaptable to different climates since they can work in a broader temperature range. Safety is better due to sodium's higher ionic radius compared to lithium, which can also lead to improved thermal stability. Also, sodium-ion batteries don't use as much of the pricey and environmentally problematic minerals like cobalt and nickel.
- **Challenges with Sodium-Ion Batteries**: The energy density and cycle life of sodium-ion batteries are not without their restrictions. Sodium ion batteries aren't as good as lithium-ion ones because their charge/discharge cycles are slower due to the bigger size of sodium ions. Vehicles powered by sodium-ion batteries would also need to have heavier and bigger battery packs to match the ranges offered by lithium-ion batteries because the former still has a lower overall energy storage capacity. However, these constraints can be addressed and the commercial feasibility of sodium-ion batteries for electric vehicles can be increased by ongoing research into enhancing their efficiency, such as developing better cathode and anode materials.

3. Comparing Lithium-Sulfur and Sodium-Ion Batteries

- **Energy Density and Efficiency**: Sodium-ion batteries have a lower theoretical energy density compared to lithium-sulfur batteries, which could lead to a significantly lower capacity. Because of this, lithium-sulfur is a better choice for uses that require lightweight batteries with a long range, like in EVs for passengers. The cheaper cost of sodium and the absence of lithium-related supply chain problems make sodium-ion batteries a potentially more cost-effective alternative, despite their lower energy density.
- **Cost and Sustainability**: When compared to traditional lithium-ion technology, the price benefits of sodium-ion and lithium-sulfur batteries are substantial. Li-S batteries employ sulphur, while Na-ion batteries use sodium, which lessens the need for rare and costly raw elements like nickel and cobalt. This bodes well for the long-term viability

of both chemistries and their reliance on intricate international supply chains. To be commercially feasible, lithium-sulfur may need additional development to address its performance limitations, especially its low cycle life. Although sodium-ion batteries are presently more affordable, they might still require enhancements to their energy density and lifespan before they can be utilised in electric vehicles with longer ranges.

- **Environmental Impact**: Because sodium is more abundant and requires fewer resources to extract than lithium, sodium-ion batteries are clearly the more environmentally friendly option. However, by using sulphur, a considerably more sustainable and eco-friendly substance than the metals used in traditional batteries, lithium-sulfur batteries have the ability to lessen the carbon footprint of electric vehicle batteries. Ongoing research is primarily focused on addressing the difficulty of increasing production of both types of batteries while minimising their environmental impact.

4. Future Prospects and Research Directions

- **Innovations in Material Science**: Improvements in the performance and longevity of sodium-ion and lithium-sulfur batteries are the subject of active research. Enhancing cycle life and performance for lithium-sulfur requires advances in stabilising the cathode, improving conductivity, and developing protective coatings. One important area of research for sodium-ion batteries is the development of electrode materials that can better manage the bigger sodium ions while also improving energy density.
- **Integration into the EV Market**: Although there is potential in both battery chemistries, improving their energy density, cycle life, and performance will be necessary for widespread use. The successful commercialisation of these technologies will depend on the close cooperation of research institutes, battery companies, and car manufacturers.

Sodium-ion and lithium-sulfur batteries are feasible lithium-ion battery alternatives because to their sustainability, material accessibility, and pricing. Lithium-sulfur batteries may improve energy density, however cycle life and durability are still issues. Despite their lower energy density, sodium-ion batteries are more sustainable, cost-effective, and ecologically benign due to reduced material supply constraints. Both chemistries may play key roles in the electric vehicle sector as research develop and influence clean transportation energy storage in their own ways.

Conclusion

Lithium-sulfur and sodium-ion batteries may solve the issues produced by traditional lithium-ion batteries, which are crucial to the development of battery technology and the future of electric vehicles. Due to their increased energy density, lithium-sulfur batteries could make electric vehicles lighter and more efficient. Sulphur's low cost and abundance make lithium-sulfur batteries more sustainable and solve raw material scarcity. However, lithium-sulfur batteries must overcome battery life, stability, and performance issues before being employed commercially in electric vehicles. The evidence suggests that sodium-ion batteries are cost-effective and ecologically beneficial despite their lower energy density. Because sodium is abundant and cheap, sodium-ion batteries could reduce lithium-ion supply chain pressures and lower electric car prices. Sodium-ion batteries are a good energy storage solution due to their safety and temperature flexibility. For high-demand applications like electric vehicles, lithium-ion batteries outperform sodium-ion batteries due to their longer cycle life and higher energy storage capacity. Both sodium-ion and lithium-sulfur batteries show promise for environmentally friendly, cost-effective electric automobile technologies. Even though scalability, performance, and durability are still issues, these novel chemistries may impact electric mobility if materials science, manufacturing, and recycling technologies improve. A balanced approach that uses both technologies' capabilities can accelerate the transformation to a greener, more sustainable car industry. Battery technology, which is improving, will shape electric vehicle acceptance. New battery chemistries and better infrastructure will hasten the switch to electric vehicles and cleaner transportation worldwide.

Bibliography

- Bunch, D.S., M. Bradley, T.F. Golob, R. Kitamura and G.P. Occhiuzzo. 1993. Demand for clean fuel vehicles in California: a discrete choice stated preference project. Transportation Research A 27(3): 237-253.
- Cervero, R. 1997. Electric station cars in the San Francisco Bay Area. Transportation Quarterly 51(2): 51-61.
- Cheron, E. and M. Zins. 1997. Electric vehicle purchasing intentions: the concern over battery charge duration. Transportation Research A 31(3): 235-243.
- Chiu, Y.C. and G.H. Tzeng. 1999. The market acceptance of electric motorcycles in Taiwan: experience through a stated preference analysis. Transportation Research D 4(2): 127-146.
- D'Arcier, B.F., O. Andan and C. Raux. 1998. Stated adaptation surveys and choice process: some methodological issues. Transportation 25(2): 169-185.
- DeLucchi, M.A. and T.E. Lipman. 2001. An analysis of the retail and lifecycle cost of battery-powered electric vehicles. Transportation Research D 6(6): 371-404.
- Golob, T.F. and J. Gould. 1998. Projecting use of electric vehicles from household vehicle trials. Transportation Research B 32(7): 441-454.
- Hara, K., M. Takayama and M. Teramoto. 2000. The electric vehicle sharing demonstration: the ITS/EV project urban rent-a-car system in the Yokohama Minato Mirai 21 area.
- Presented at the IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium in Dearborn, MI. Kurani, K.S., T. Turrentine and D. Sperling. 1996. Testing electric vehicle demand in 'hybrid households' using a reflexive survey. Transportation Research D 1(2): 131-150.
- Massot, M.H., J.F. Allouche, E. Benejam and M. Parent. 1999. Praxitele: preliminary results from the Saint Quentin station car experiment. Transportation Research Record 1666: 125-132.
- Nerenberg, V., M.J. Bernard and N.E. Collins. 1999. Evaluation results of San Francisco Bay Area station car demonstration. Transportation Research Record 1666: 110-117.